

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/607,534 | 06/29/2000 | Elaine Lusher | 3COM-2950.TDC.US.P | 8641 |
| 759 | 90 10/07/2003 | | EXAMI | NER |
| Wagner Murabito & Hao LLP | | | VU, VIET DUY | |
| Third Floor Two North Market Street | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| San Jose, CA 95113 | | | 2154 | <u></u> |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003 |) |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/607,534 Applicant(s)

Lusher et al

Examiner

Viet Vu

Art Unit 2154

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply | 70 70707 | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). mailing date of this communication. | In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | |
| - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| If NO period for repty is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app Failure to repty within the set or extended period for repty will, by statute, caus | e the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 8, 20 | 003 | | | |
| 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act | ion is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle. | except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> | is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideratio | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> | is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar | re a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: aD approved bD disapproved by the Examine | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply | to this Office action. | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of: | | | | |
| 1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have | ve been received. | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | ve been received in Application No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority dapplication from the International Bure | ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th | e certified copies not received. | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | |
| a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisions | al application has been received. | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | , | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO 1449) Pages No(s) | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: | | | |

Serial No. 09/607,534

DETAILED ACTION

Art Rejections:

- 1. The text of 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) cited in the previous office action is hereby incorporated by reference.
- 2. The rejection of claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by $\underline{\text{Lee}}$ et al, U.S. pat. No. 6,336,137, paper #3, mailed 6/2/03, is hereby incorporated by reference.

Response to Amendment:

3. Applicant's arguments filed on 9/8/03 with respect to claims 1-21 have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive.

Applicant alleges that the cited art (<u>Lee</u>) does not teach filtering data content requested by a mobile user.

This is not found persuasive. The examiner submits that <u>Lee's</u> data delivery indeed comprises data selecting and filtering functions because <u>select</u> data contents are retrieved in response to user's request (<u>see col 12, lines 22-28</u>). <u>Lee's</u> server also reformats the complied data into desired format that is compatible with user's device (<u>see col 12, lines 28-38</u>). Thus, in the absence of more specific data filtering operation in the claims, it is submitted that Lee's teaching, as set out in the office action, sufficiently meets the present claim limitations.

Serial No. 09/607,534

Moreover, <u>Lee's</u> data formatting and compiling operations for a "thin client" inherently include additional data filtering by excluding data contents that are not compatible with the "thin client" which is a device with limited memory and computing capability (<u>see col 5, lines 11-21</u>). For example, hi-res graphics and video clips will be excluded because they cannot be utilized on a thin client device.

Conclusion:

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is (703) 305-9597. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (703) 305-9678.

Serial No. 09/607,534

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tahim

Art Unit 2154 10/6/03